TRIFLUOROACETOLYSIS KINETICS OF 3,3-DIMETHYL-2-BUTYL TOSYLATE.<sup>1</sup> THE QUESTION OF NUCLEOPHILICALLY UNASSISTED SOLVOLYSIS IN SELECT SECONDARY ALKYL SUBSTRATES

J. Eric Nordlander\*, Robert R. Gruetzmacher,<sup>2</sup> and Frank Miller<sup>3</sup>

Department of Chemistry, Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106

(Received in USA 27 November 1972; received in UK for publication 6 Rebruary 1973)

Two structures have recently been independently advanced<sup>4,5</sup> as secondary alkyl substrates whose solvolyses proceed with neither neighboring group  $(k_{\Delta})^{4c}$  nor solvent nucleophilic  $(k_{S})^{4c}$  assistance. Such models are sought as a basis for evaluating rate enhancements in  $k_{S}$  and  $k_{\Delta}$  pathways for other reactants.

Schleyer and coworkers<sup>4</sup> have adduced broad evidence for nucleophilically unassisted  $(k_c)^{4c}$  solvolyses of 2-adamantyl halides and tosylate, <u>1</u>. Combined rate comparisons with isopropyl tosylate in trifluoroacetic acid (for minimum nucleophilicity) and other solvents have been taken to provide lower-limit values of  $k_s/k_c$  for this substrate in the more nucleophilic media.<sup>4c</sup> Ion-pair partitioning effects were not explicitly considered in this treatment.

Shiner et al.<sup>5</sup> have presented data for 3,3-dimethyl-2-butyl (pinacolyl) brosylate, <u>2b</u>, in support of a mechanism of rate-determining ionization, where ion-pair return is precluded by rapid methyl migration.



$$\underline{1a}$$
, X = 0Ts $\underline{2a}$ , X = 0Ts $\underline{b}$ , X = Br, Cl $\underline{b}$ , X = 0Bs

A test for the mutual validity of models <u>1</u> and <u>2</u> as idealized  $k_c$  reactants is their comparative rate response to solvent change. In the absence of differential ion-pair phenomena, the relative  $k_c$  rates of two substrates should be independent of solvent. <sup>4c,6</sup> Particularly instructive in such an assessment should be trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), which is considerably less nucleophilic and more highly ionizing than other common solvents producing displacement products. <sup>1,4,5,7</sup> Based on this medium the degree of parallel  $k_c$  behavior for <u>1a</u> and <u>2a</u> may be described by the closeness to unity of the expression in eq 1.

$$\frac{(k_{solvent}/k_{TFA})_{pin0Ts}}{(k_{solvent}/k_{TFA})_{2-Ad0Ts}} \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$
(1)

We have measured the trifluoroacetolysis kinetics of pinacolyl tosylate and applied the results together with those published for other solvents to eq 1. The relatively rapid rate at 26.2° (half-life 147 sec) was measured by a new technique for continuous photometric observation after rapid mixing,<sup>8</sup> while at lower temperatures series of reactions conducted in a thermostatted bath were quenched after programmed intervals with -70° 95% ethanol and assayed spectrophotometrically.<sup>9</sup> The data are listed in Table I; the linear least-squares Eyring plot gave  $\Delta H^{\neq} = 18.8$  kcal/mole and  $\Delta S^{\neq} = -6.5$  cal/deg-mole, with a mean deviation of the experimental rate constants from those on the line of 0.58%.

Table I. Trifluoroacetolysis<sup>a</sup> Rate Data<sup>b</sup> for 3,3-Dimethyl-2-butyl Tosylate (2a).

Temp, °C	26.2	25.0	15.1	10.2	5.6	
10 <sup>4</sup> k, sec <sup>-1</sup>	47.1	40.9 <sup>c</sup>	13.2	7.34	4.26	

<sup>a</sup>In the presence of 0.06 <u>M</u> sodium trifluoroacetate and 1 wt% trifluoroacetic anhydride. <sup>b</sup>See text for measurement techniques. <sup>C</sup>Interpolated from the data at other temperatures.

Table II presents the solvent-effect ratio of eq 1 for five solvents. In all these media significant upward departures from unity are observed, ranging to 16 in 80% ethanol. A correlation of increasing solvent-effect ratio with decreasing Y value<sup>10</sup> is found within the aqueous ethanol and the carboxylic acid solvent groups. In view of the much larger values of this ratio<sup>11</sup> associated with substrates more open to solvent nucleophilic assistance<sup>4c,12</sup>, the present results inducate similar but non-identical rate-determing processes for systems 1 and 2.

It seems most reasonable that the solvent-effect distinctions reflect differences in ion-pair chemistry, with return to reactant in the pinacolyl case being preempted by rearrangement as proposed.<sup>5a</sup> Partitioning of 2-adamantyl tosylate ion pairs should be a complex function of solvent, involving solvent-separated as well as contact species.<sup>13</sup> Within solvent groups of similar nucleophilicity,<sup>14</sup> however, one might anticipate increasing return with decreasing solvent Y values, in accordance with the relationships of Table II. If the tight ion pairs sterically resemble the covalent ground state sufficiently to be substantially protected from backside attack, then product formation will follow tight ion-pair dissociation<sup>4i, 2</sup> and net solvolysis should become a decreasing fraction of ionization as solvent dissociating (ionizing) power decreases.

An alternative explanation of the data might be sought in solvent-dependent methyl

Solvent	k <sub>Pin</sub> k <sub>2-Ad</sub>	(k <sub>solvent</sub> /k <sub>TFA</sub> ) <sub>Pin</sub> (k <sub>solvent</sub> /k <sub>TFA</sub> ) <sub>2-Ad</sub>	<sup>Y</sup> solvent <sup>C</sup>	
80% aq. CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH <sup>d</sup>	72.5	16	0.000	
50% aq. CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH <sup>d</sup>	36.1	7.9	1.655	
97% aq. CF <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> 0H <sup>d</sup>	11.4	2.5	1.148	
сн <sub>3</sub> со <sub>2</sub> н	32.2	7,1	-1.639	
нсо <sub>2</sub> н <sup>d</sup>	20.3	4.5	2.054	

Table II. Solvent Rate Effects on 3,3-Dimethyl-2-butyl (Pin) Tosylate<sup>a</sup> (2a) Relative to 2-Adamantyl (2-Ad) Tosylate<sup>b</sup> (1b) at 25.0°.

<sup>a</sup>Complementary data from S. Winstein, B. K. Morse, E. Grunwald, K. C. Schreiber, and J. Corse, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 74, 1113 (1952); S. Winstein and H. Marshall, <u>ibid.</u>, 74, 1120 (1952); A. H. Fainberg and S. Winstein, <u>ibid.</u>, 78, 2780 (1956); and refs 5a and 10a. <sup>b</sup>Data from refs 4c and 4d, except for a revised trifluoroacetolysis rate constant communicated personally by Professor Schleyer,  $k = 8.98 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . <sup>C</sup>Ref 10. <sup>d</sup>The pinacolyl tosylate/brosylate rate ratio in acetic acid, 0.275, was assumed to hold in the other solvents.

assistance in pinacolyl solvolysis. By consideration of the Hammond Postulate,<sup>15</sup> such participation if operative should assume increasing kinetic importance (in the absence of  $k_s$  processes) as solvent ionizing power decreases from trifluoroacetic acid.<sup>5a,d</sup> Closely similar and minor  $\gamma$ -d<sub>g</sub> isotope effects, however, have been reported for pinacolyl brosylate in 50% aqueous ethanol and 97% trifluoroethanol<sup>5a</sup> and in 43% ethanol and 95% trifluoroacetic acid,<sup>5c</sup> implying negligible methyl-group participation throughout.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>. Partial support of this work by national Science Foundation Grant GP-20732 and the provision of a research fellowship to R.R.G. by Texaco, Inc., are gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Solvolytic Displacement Reactions in Trifluoroacetic Acid. III. Part II: J. E. Nordlander and W. J. Kelly, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 996 (1969).
- (2) Ph.D. Thesis, Case Western Reserve University, 1972.
- (3) National Science Foundation College Teacher Research Participant, 1971; Wisconsin State University, Medford, Wisconsin 54451.
- (4) (a) J. L. Fry, C. J. Lancelot, L. K. M. Lam, J. M. Harris, R. C. Bingham, D. J. Raber,

R. E. Hall, and P. v.R. Schleyer, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>, 2338 (1970); (b) J. L. Fry, J. M. Harris,
R. C. Bingham, and P. v.R. Schleyer, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>, 2540 (1970); (c) P. v.R. Schleyer, J.
L. Fry, L. K. M. Lam, and C. J. Lancelot, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>, 2542 (1970); (d) S. H. Liggero,
J. J. Harper, P. v.R. Schleyer, A. P. Krapcho, and D. E. Horn, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>, 3789 (1970);
(e) J. M. Harris, D. J. Raber, R. E. Hall, and P. v.R. Schleyer, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>93</u>, 2551 (1971); (g)
D. J. Raber, J. M. Harris, R. E. Hall, and P. v.R. Schleyer, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>93</u>, 2551 (1971); (g)
D. J. Raber, J. M. Harris, R. E. Hall, and P. v.R. Schleyer, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>93</u>, 4821 (1971);
(h) J. L. Fry, E. M. Engler, and P. v.R. Schleyer, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>94</u>, 4628 (1972); see also (i)
J. A. Bone and M. C. Whiting, <u>Chem. Commun.</u>, 115 (1970); (j) V. J. Shiner, Jr., and R. D.
Fisher, J. Amer. <u>Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>93</u>, 2553 (1971); (k) D. J. Raber and J. M. Harris, J. <u>Chem.</u>
<u>Ed.</u>, <u>49</u>, 60 (1972); (1) J. M. Harris, J. F. Fagan, F. A. Walden, and D. C. Clark, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 3023 (1972).

- (5) (a) V. J. Shiner, Jr., R. D. Fisher, and W. Dowd, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 7748 (1969); see also (b) V. J. Shiner, Jr., and W. Dowd, <u>ibid.</u>, 91, 6528 (1969); (c) W. M. Schubert and P. H.LeFevre, <u>ibid.</u>, 91, 7746 (1969); (d) V. J. Shiner, Jr., in "Isotope Effects in Chemical Reactions [ACS Monograph 167]," C. J. Collins and N. S. Bowman, ed., Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, N. Y., 1970, Chapter 2.
- (6) Shiner et al.<sup>5a</sup> have noted possible qualifications of this generalization; these should be negligible, however, in the present context.
- (7) (a) P. E. Peterson, R. J. Bopp, D. M. Chevli, E. L. Curran, D. E. Dillard, and R. J. Kamat, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 5902 (1967) and earlier papers; (b) I. L. Reich, A. Diaz, and S. Winstein, <u>ibid.</u>, 91, 5635 (1969). (1972)
- (8) J. E. Nordlander, R. R. Gruetzmacher, and J. E. Stuehr, <u>Rev. Sci. Instrum., 43</u>,1835
- (9) (a) P. E. Peterson, R. E. Kelley, Jr., R. Belloli, and K. A. Sipp, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87, 5169 (1965); (b) J. E. Nordlander and W. G. Deadman, <u>ibid.</u>, 90, 1590 (1968).
- (10) (a) E. Grunwald and S. Winstein, <u>ibid.</u>, 70, 846 (1948); (b) A. H. Fainberg and S. Winstein, <u>ibid.</u>, 78, 2770 (1956); (c) J. E. Leffler and E. Grunwald, "Rates and Equilibria of Organic Reactions," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1963, p. 297-300.
- (11) Expressed as  $(k_R/k_{2-Ad})_{solvent}/(k_R/k_{2-Ad})_{TFA}$ .
- (12) J. E. Nordlander and T. J. McCrary, Jr., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 5133 (1972).
- (13) For recent critical discussions of the general complexity of solvolytic mechanisms see refs 4g and 5d.
- (14) (a) T. W. Bentley, F. L. Schadt, and P. v.R. Schleyer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>94</u>, 992 (1972); (b) P. E. Peterson and F. J. Waller, <u>1bid.</u>, <u>94</u>, 991 (1972).
- (15) G. S. Hammond, ibid., 77, 334 (1955).